

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,241	07/01/2003	James Lovette	COOL-01400	3319
75	90 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas B. Haverstock HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			DUONG, THO V	
162 North Wolfe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA 94086			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/612,241	LOVETTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tho v. Duong	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,25,26,31,32,38,54,55,60,61 and 66-81 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-20,30,33-37,39-49,59,62,64 and 65 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 21-24,27-29,50-53,56-58 and 63 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/612,241 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 1/20/06 is acknowledged. Claims 1-81 are pending. Claims 4,25-26,31-32,38,54-55,60-61 and 66-81 remain withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

contained in a plane non-parallel to a heat exchanging plane.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-6,8,15,16,20,30,34-37,39-40,42,49,59 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al. (US 5,810,077). Nakamura discloses (figures 1,19-27) a heat exchanger comprising a manifold layer (6,7) having a first plurality of openings (8) for providing a cooling material to the heat exchanger and a second plurality of openings (8) for removing the cooling material from the heat exchanger; an interface layer (5) coupled to the manifold layer, the interface layer having a plurality of vertically stacked route channels (3), each route extends from one of the first plurality of openings and terminates at a corresponding one of the second plurality of openings, the routes for carrying the cooling material. Nakamura further discloses a cross-section of the plurality of routes substantially

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,9-14,17-19,41 and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakamura. Nakamura substantially disclose all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the suitable material of the thermal interface layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose the suitable material as claimed for the thermal interface layer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter on obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Furthermore, applicant does not disclose any criticality or unexpected result for selecting the claimed material. Moreover, it appears that the interface layer would perform equally well with any conductive material. Accordingly, the use of material is deemed to be a design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Nakamura.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Fukuoka et al. (US 5,564,497). Nakamura substantially discloses all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that a pump is provided in the inlet opening. Fukuoka discloses (figure 1) a fluid cooling system that has a pump (3) fluidly connected to a manifold of the heat exchanger for the purpose of pumping the coolant into the cooling system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/612,241

Art Unit: 3753

invention was made to use Fukuoka's teaching in Nakamura's device for the purpose of pumping the coolant into the cooling system.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Mathews (US 5,274,920). Nakamura substantially discloses all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that the plate with flow channels are formed by stamping. Mathews discloses (figures 1-4, column 2, lines 25-28 and column 5, lines 42-47) that stamping process has been used in forming flow channels on face of a plate for the purpose of simplifying the manufacturing steps of the cooling system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Mathews's teaching in N's system for the purpose of simplifying the manufacturing steps of the cooling system.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Wang (US 6,477,045 B1). Nakamura substantially discloses all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that the plate with flow channels is made of injection molding. Wang discloses (figure 3 and column 2, lines 36-56) that an injection molding process has been used to form a plate with flow channels for the purpose of forming a metal thermal interface layer in a cooling system with a known process in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Wang's teaching in Nakamura's system for the purpose of forming a metal thermal interface layer with a known process in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 21-24,27-29,50-53,56-58 and 63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keasel Eric can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho v Duong Primary Examiner

Morandowy

Art Unit 3753

TO

April 3, 2006